



1 Pursuant to Rule 6-2 of the Local Rules of the Northern District of California and FED. R.  
2 CIV. P. 54(d)(2)(6), Defendant McGuireWoods LLP (“McGuireWoods”) and Plaintiff Crown Paper  
3 Liquidating Trust (the “Trust”) stipulate as follows and respectfully request that the Court enter their  
4 proposed order.

5 WHEREAS on July 12, 2004, this Court granted Defendant McGuireWoods’ Motion to  
6 Dismiss this action with prejudice;

7 The Trust filed its Notice of Appeal of the dismissal on August 10, 2004.

8 On July 28, 2004, McGuireWoods filed a Motion for Attorneys’ Fees arguing that  
9 McGuireWoods was entitled to attorneys’ fees under the terms of a 1998 Option and Settlement  
10 Agreement between Crown and Fort James Corporation (the “Option and Settlement Agreement”),  
11 but requesting that the Court defer ruling on the attorneys’ fees Motion until proceedings in the  
12 Delaware Chancery Court (the “Delaware Action”) involving the Option and Settlement Agreement  
13 were resolved;

14 On January 12, 2005, this Court issued an Order finding that “because significant issues  
15 concerning the contract under which McGuireWoods seeks an award of attorneys’ fees are pending  
16 in the Delaware Action, the Court finds it appropriate to deny the Motion at this time, without  
17 prejudice to refile it upon completion of the proceedings in Delaware.” The Court held that  
18 McGuireWoods could refile its attorneys’ fee motion no later than fourteen (14) days after entry of a  
19 final judgment in the Delaware Action;

20 The Delaware Action was dismissed without prejudice on December 21, 2005;

21 On or about December 23, 2005, the parties submitted a stipulation and proposed order to the  
22 Court seeking an extension of time for McGuireWoods to file its Motion for Attorneys’ Fees from  
23 January 4, 2006 to March 3, 2006;

24 On or about December 27, 2005, the Court granted the parties’ stipulation and ordered that  
25 the last day for McGuireWoods to file its Motion for Attorneys’ Fees be continued from January 4,  
26 2006 to March 3, 2006;

27 On March 3, 2006, McGuireWoods filed its Notice of Motion and Motion for Attorneys’  
28 Fees and Expenses and supporting Memorandum of Points and Authorities;

1           On March 27, 2006, McGuireWoods and Crown stipulated to a further continuance of  
2 McGuireWoods' Motion for Attorneys' Fees pending resolution of Crown's appeal of this Court's  
3 order dismissing McGuireWoods. Specifically, the parties stipulated that McGuireWoods would  
4 withdraw its Motion for Attorneys' Fees without prejudice to reasserting the claim in this Court if  
5 (a) the case was remanded from the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals to the District Court following  
6 Crown's appeal, in which event any fees claims would be litigated as provided by Rule 54; or (b) the  
7 Ninth Circuit affirms the dismissal of McGuireWoods, in which event the fee motion could be  
8 refiled no later than fourteen (14) days after the Ninth Circuit affirms. This Court signed the  
9 stipulation and proposed order on March 30, 2006 (Docket No. 429);

10           By order dated August 9, 2006, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals affirmed this Court's  
11 order dismissing McGuireWoods;

12           On August 23, 2006, Crown filed a petition for rehearing and rehearing en banc with the  
13 Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals;

14           By order dated September 20, 2006, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals denied Crown's  
15 petition for rehearing and rehearing en banc;

16           On September 22, 2006, Crown and McGuireWoods submitted a stipulation and proposed  
17 order to this Court requesting that the filing date for McGuireWoods's fee motion be deferred  
18 pending settlement negotiations, and requested that the Court require filing of the motion within  
19 sixty (60) days of remand of the case by the Ninth Circuit;

20           On September 25, 2006, the Court entered an order approving the stipulation deferring  
21 hearing and briefing dates for McGuireWoods's motion for attorneys' fees (Docket No. 432);

22           On October 3, 2006, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals issued its mandate;

23           On November 8, 2006, Fort James filed a "Motion for Summary Judgment on Count II  
24 (Fraudulent Transfer Claim Relating to 1998 Option and Settlement Agreement)" in *Beck v. Fort  
25 James, et al.*, Case No. 3:02-CV-03836 (the "Motion for Summary Judgment");

26           The Motion for Summary Judgment:

27           • Seeks resolution by this Court of the validity of and Crown's defenses to the Option  
28 and Settlement Agreement;

- Will be fully briefed by Crown and Fort James;
- Is potentially dispositive of McGuireWoods's right to fees pursuant to the Option and Settlement Agreement, as it will address the validity of that agreement and Crown's defenses thereto; and
- Is set for hearing on December 15, 2006.

Should the validity of and Crown's defenses to the Option and Settlement Agreement not be resolved by Crown's Motion for Summary Judgment, it is at issue in the trial of *Beck v. Fort James, et al.*, Case No. 3:02-CV-03836, set for trial on February 5, 2007.

THEREFORE, the parties desire an extension of time for filing McGuireWoods' motion regarding its right to attorneys' fees pending the final resolution of Count II (Fraudulent Transfer Claim Relating to 1998 Option and Settlement Agreement) in the *Beck v. Fort James, et al.* case.

Accordingly, the parties respectfully request a 30 day extension of time from the date of this Court's entry of judgment regarding Count II in *Beck v. Fort James, et al.*, Case No. 3:02-CV-03836, in which McGuireWoods may file its motion for attorneys' fees.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED THAT, upon Court approval of this stipulation, McGuireWoods' Motion for Attorneys' Fees shall be filed no later than thirty (30) days from the date of this Court's entry of judgment regarding Count II in *Beck v. Fort James, et al.*, Case No. 3:02-CV-03836.

**STIPULATED AND AGREED:**

DATED: November 20, 2006

Krieg, Keller, Sloan, Reilley & Roman, LLP

Attorneys for Defendant McGuireWoods LLP

111

三

11

1 DATED: November 20, 2006

2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
BEUS GILBERT PLLC

By /s/  
Albert J. Morrison/Calif. Bar No. 198047  
4800 North Scottsdale Road, Suite 6000  
Scottsdale, Arizona 85251

Attorneys for Plaintiff Crown Paper Liquidating Trust

**PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.**

10 DATED: November 22, 2006

11  
12   
13 Hon. Maxine M. Chesney  
14 United States District Court Judge